

**REMARKS**

At the outset, the Examiner is thanked for the thorough review and consideration of the pending application. The Office Action dated October 5, 2007, has been received and its contents carefully reviewed.

In the Office Action, claims 1-43 are rejected on the ground of non-statutory obviousness-type double patenting. Claims 1-43 are not rejected over any prior art. With this response, Applicant adds new claims 44-62.

Applicant will file a Terminal Disclaimer with regard to claims 1-43 upon allowance of the newly added claims. In addition, Applicant believes new claims 44-62 are allowable.

Applicants believe the foregoing amendments place the application in condition for allowance, but for the filing of the Terminal Disclaimer, and early, favorable action is respectfully solicited.

If for any reason the Examiner finds the application other than in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to call the undersigned attorney at (202) 496-7500 to discuss the steps necessary for placing the application in condition for allowance. All correspondence should continue to be sent to the below-listed address.

If these papers are not considered timely filed by the Patent and Trademark Office, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136, and any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 for any necessary extension of time, or any other fees required to complete the filing of this response, may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-0911. Please credit any overpayment to deposit Account No. 50-0911. *A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.*

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: April 3, 2008

By



**George G. Ballas**  
Registration No. 52,587  
McKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP  
1900 K Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20006  
(202) 496-7500  
Attorneys for Applicant